SINGLE BENCH (2)

P.R. No. 3963/06
Presented by Shri V.A. Gorradhan
dated 26/7/06

100/-

47

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

W.P. (S) NO. 3886 /2006

PETITIONER : Bhuwanlal Amuley, Aged about 51 years
S/o. Bhagwat Singh Amuley, R/o. Podi-Uproda, Korba, District Korba (CG)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :
1] State of Chhattisgarh,
Through: Secretary, Panchayat & Gramin Vikas Vibhag, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, District Raipur (CG)

2] Development Commissioner,
Panchayat and Gramin Vikas Vibhag, Raipur, Distt Raipur (CG)

3] Chief Engineer,
Rural Engineering Services, Vikas Ayukta Office Raipur, Distt Raipur (CG)

4] Pyarelal Charmkar,
Sub-Engineer, Water Resources Department, At Present Posted at Janpad Panchayat Pendraroad, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (CG)

RECEIVED-COPY
26/7/06
CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3886/ **सन्** 2006

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	7-8-2006 Mr. Manoj Paranjpe, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents No.1 to 3, on advance copy. Heard. By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 30th June, 2006, passed by respondent No.1, whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Podi-Uproda, Korba to Janpad Panchayat: Ramanujnagar, District: Surguja, and in the impugned order it has been shown that he has been transferred on his own request. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had never requested for his transfer, therefore, he has been transferred on a wrong ground. In the circumstances, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 and respondent No.1 be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel appearing for the State has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation annexing copy of the instant writ petition, raising all his grievances to respondent No.1 within ten days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide the same within 30 days from the date	

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3886/ **सन्** 2006

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित - 2	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

of its receipt, considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner, in an objective manner, in accordance with law. In the mean while, if the petitioner has not been relieved till date, he shall not be relieved, till the representation filed by him is decided.

With the directions aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3032/2006 and I.A.No.7423/2006 also stand disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Soma

(पीछे देखिये)